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**ABSTRACT**

Ice calving event is a process of producing free-floating icebergs and ice fracture. Studying this event helps scientists to project global climate change. In this work, we present a parallel in situ AMR-aware connected-component labeling algorithm, which efficiently detects real time ice calving event in the AMR-based BISICLES simulation.

**MOTIVATION**

- **Adaptive Mesh Refinement (AMR)**
  - Dynamically refines logically-rectangular patches in time and space dimensions
  - Improve efficiency of computational resources while meeting desirable error levels

- **AMR-based BISICLES Simulation**
  - A scalable AMR ice sheet modeling application built on Chombo framework
  - Resolve dynamic features like grounding lines and ice streams using very fine resolution
  - Solves a nonlinear coupled elliptic system for the ice velocity field over the entire ice sheet/ice shelf system

- **Ice Calving Event**
  - A process of producing free-floating icebergs and ice fracture
  - Large-scale calving events (i.e., iceberg twice size of Atlanta breaks off of Antarctica) are highly interesting to scientists

- **Impact of Ice Calving Event**
  - Occasional ice calving events can result in disconnected portions of floating ice shelves, leading to an ill-posed system which causes the solvers to diverge

**PROBLEM STATEMENT:** How to efficiently detect ice calving events in an extreme scale simulation run

**CHALLENGES**

- The hierarchical, multiresolution structure of AMR renders existing connected component labeling techniques ineffective
- Complex in situ data distribution poses challenges of scalability

**OBJECTIVES**

- Detect the connected components from AMR structure
- Identify “Groundedness” of floating ice (connectedness to land)
- Achieve high scalability in the real simulation run

**TECHNICAL APPROACH**

**Part A: AMR-aware Connected Component Labeling**

- A.1 Multiple stages of labeling one AMR level
  1. Discover local connectivity: assign local labels, record label equivalences
  2. Exchange labels on boundary and collect expanded equivalence
  3. Aggregate label equivalences to the selected processor
  4. Determine final labels using aggregated label equivalences
  5. Disseminate final labels to each processor

- A.2 Propagate component’s “Groundedness” across AMR levels
  1. Collect label equivalence between AMR levels using Chombo
  2. Propagate “Groundedness” along the label equivalence chain to determine the “Groundedness” of the whole component

**Part B: Hierarchical Data Aggregation**

- Divide entire processors into two-level computing groups:
  - Low-Level group: a group of processors with data from the same AMR level
  - High-level group: selected processors from low-level groups
- Collect label equivalences and “Groundedness” info in parallel
- Avoid expensive global data communication overhead

**PRELIMINARY RESULTS**

- **Detected Disconnected Floating Ice**
  - Ice thickness in the Antarctica AMR computation with artificially-cut ice (spiral and stair-like shape)
  - Ice thickness in the Antarctica AMR computation with detected ice (red circles)

- **Performance Analysis**
  - As the data size per process increases, the relative speedup of our method increases.
  - Slight dip: AMR boxes are distributed in a more scattered fashion, leading to slightly increased communication.
  - At a fixed data size per process, PCCL yields steady speedups (up to 6x) for more consecutive timesteps.
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